

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N | | ED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 10/532,586 | 06/17/2005 | Kenji Saito | 2005_0635A 4361 | | | |
| | 7590 04/28/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I | EXAMINER | | | | |
| 1030 15th Stree | | SCRUGGS, | SCRUGGS, ROBERT J | | | |
| Suite 400 East Washington, D | C 20005-1503 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | C 20000 1005 | 3723 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 04/28/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/532,586 |
| Review | ROBERT SCRUGG |

| Applicat | ion/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10/532,5 | 586 | SAITO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | | |
| ROBERT SCRUGGS | | 3723 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Part of Paper No. 20090423

| | | | | | 10 April 2009. | |
|--|--|--|--|--|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Revi | ew filed 10 April | 12009. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Improper Request – The F reason(s): | Request is improper and | d a conference | will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inc ☐ A proposed amendment i ☐ Other: | lude reasons why a rev | iew is appropria | ite. |
| The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co | | | ate of the Notice of Appeal or from is been received. |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because the rief in accordance with h from mailing this deci otice of appeal, whichev T CFR 1.136 based up | re is at least on 37 CFR 41.37. sion, or the bala er is greater. Fu | e-Appeal Brief conference has bee e actual issue for appeal. Applicar The time period for filing an appea nonce of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of t e of this decision or the receipt dat |
| ☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-1</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from contains. | · <u>1</u> . | | vs: |
| Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time. | | | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further | | | |
| All participants: | | | |
| (1) ROBERT SCRUGGS. | | (3)Tom Hughes | <u>.</u> |
| (2) Joseph Hail. | | (4) | |
| /Joseph J. Hail, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art | /Robert Scruggs/ Patent Examiner, Art | Unit 3723 | /Tom Hughes/ TQAS, TC 3700 |